

COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE OF FRP & FRCM SYSTEMS AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURE

Dr Luke Bisby, Reader

BRE Centre for Fire Safety Engineering School of Engineering, University of Edinburgh, UK



Introduction

- Externally-Bonded FRP Strengthening Systems
 - Widely accepted, method of choice, polymer-based (concerns in fire)
- Textile Reinforced Mortar (TRM) Strengthening Systems:
 - Emerged in last 5-10 years
 - Repairing damaged or deficient concrete or masonry (axial, shear, flexure)
 - Open-weave carbon fibre fabrics applied using inorganic mortars
 - Comparatively low strength, stiffness, adhesion properties
- Fibre Reinforced Cementitious Matrix
 - Emerged in last 5 years
 - Non-woven PBO fibre grids applied using modified inorganic mortars
 - Repairing damaged or deficient concrete
 - <u>Superior strength, stiffness, adhesion</u>



Research Motivation

- Thermal & mechanical performance in fire
 - A key issue in the application of any structural strengthening system
- Fire-rated, insulated externally bonded FRP strengthening systems are available
 - Current design guidance ignores the FRP during fire (even with insulation)
 - Ability of FRP strengthening systems to maintain structural effectiveness under load at high temperature remains unproven
 - Applications of FRPs are hindered
- It has been suggested that TRM/FRCM systems may outperform FRP systems during fire or in elevated temperature service



Background

• FRCM:

- Advantages over externally bonded FRP systems:
 - Installation and aesthetics
 - Breathability
 - Non-combustibility, zero flame spread
 - Mechanical performance at high temperature?



Current presentation:

- Pilot study into comparative performance of FRCM systems
- Tests at ambient & elevated temperatures
- Comparison against externally-bonded carbon/epoxy FRP strengthening systems

QUESTION: How does FRCM compare with ⁵ FRP?

OBJECTIVES:

- Experimentally investigate the performance of FRCM flexural strengthening systems for reinforced concrete structures
 - In comparison with externally-bonded FRP systems
 - In bond-critical applications without supplemental anchors
 - At temperatures that they might experience if insulated and exposed to a standard fire scenario, or in elevated temperature service environments
- 2. Investigate the hypothesis that FRCM systems may provide superior retention of mechanical properties at elevated temperature as compared with externallybonded FRP

Experimental Programme



Beam Specimens

* All dimensions in mm



Shear Strengthening Scheme

- Pilot tests demonstrated shear failures
- Remedial shear strengthening was required
 - Inverted U-wraps
 - shear strengthening without supplemental anchorage
 - Does not significantly affect the flexural strengthening



Testing Matrix

Specimen ID	Primer	Fibre system	Adhesive system	Target test temp. (°C)	Duration of heating (hrs)	No. of beams
PC 20				20		3
FRP Nº1 20	Primer Nº1 ¹	Carbon	Saturant Nº11			3
FRP Nº2 20	Primer Nº2 ²	fibre ³ Carbon	Saturant Nº2 ²			3
FRCM 20		x Mesh	X Mesh M750			3
PC 50		Gold		50	6	3
FRP Nº1 50	Primer Nº1 ¹	Carbon	Saturant Nº11			3
FRP Nº2 50	Primer Nº2 ²	fibre ³ Carbon	Saturant Nº2 ²			3
FRCM 50		fibre ³ X Mesh	X Mesh M750			3
PC 80		Gold		80	6	3
FRP Nº1 80	Primer Nº1 ¹	Carbon	Saturant Nº11			3
FRP Nº2 80	Primer Nº2 ²	fibre ³ Carbon	Saturant Nº2 ²			3
FRCM 80		x Mesh	X Mesh M750			3

¹ Commercially available epoxy primer and saturant system currently selling in Italy.

² Commercially available epoxy primer and saturant system currently selling globally.

³ Commercially available unidirectional carbon fibre fabric currently selling in Italy.

FRCM Installation



Testing Methodology



Load vs. Displacement: Tests @¹² 20°C



Failure Modes: 20°C



Load vs. Displacement: Tests @14 50°C







Load vs. Displacement: Tests @16 80°C



Crosshead Displacement (mm)





Effect of Temperature



Strength reduction of FRCM beams may be due to reductions in shear strength of concrete at 80°C, rather than indicating damage to the FRCM

Aside: Characterization of Epoxy Resins

19

• DMTA testing on polymer primer & saturants



Conclusions

- The FRCM system can be effectively used, without supplemental anchorage, to strengthen RC beams in bending
- 2. Effects of Temperature:
 - FRP N°1 experienced reductions of 52% at 50°C and 74% at 80°C
 - FRP N°2 experienced reductions of 10% at 50°C and 64% at 80°C
 - FRCM experienced reductions of only 6% at 50°C and 28% at 80°C
 - May represent a reduction in the strength of the concrete rather than damage to the FRCM system
- FRCM appears to be a superior candidate for use in strengthening applications at temperatures of 25°C to 80°C

Acknowledgements

Dr T Stratford, J Smith, S Halpin University of Edinburgh

Thank you for your attention

For additional information email: <u>Luke.Bisby@ed.ac.uk</u>

Aside: Flame Spread & Combustibility

• FRP systems:

- Loss of the strengthening systems' mechanical performance during fire may not be critical if reasonable strengthening limits are imposed
- Structural performance is only one of many concerns in fire:
 - Fire severity and fuel load
 - Flame spread
 - Smoke generation and toxicity
- FRP strengthening systems often require flame spread coatings in interior applications to meet life-safety objectives in fire
- FRCM systems bonded with inorganic mortars:
 - Inherently non-combustible
 - Can be used unprotected
 - Reductions in material and installation costs
 - Improved aesthetics

Aside: Aging of Polymer Resins?

• DMTA testing after 3 hrs at high temperature

